

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,360	10/31/2003	Eric S. Olson	TCOM0012	5403
39258	7590 06/13/2006		EXAM	INER
TENSORCO		WARE, CICELY Q		
	ST AVE., SUITE 105 STER, CO 80234		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•					
Office Action Summar	10/699,360	OLSON ET AL.			
\	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this com	Cicely Ware munication appears on the cover sheet	2611			
Period for Reply	DD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3	•			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxin - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for	HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may s communication. num statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No reply will, by statute, cause the application to become onths after the mailing date of this communication, eve	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on <u>31 October 2003</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in cond	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the p	oractice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in	the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-9,12-17,19,21,24-27</u>					
7) Claim(s) <u>10,11,18,20,22,23,28,</u>					
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	estriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to I					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 Octol</u>					
	objection to the drawing(s) be held in abe				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) incl 11) The oath or declaration is object		ring(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
The oath of declaration is object	ed to by the Examiner. Note the attach	ned Office Action of form F10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a c a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None	of:	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
·	ority documents have been received.				
	ority documents have been received in				
•	pies of the priority documents have be	en received in this National Stage			
• •	national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). action for a list of the certified copies r	not received			
See the attached detailed Office	action for a list of the certified copies i	iot received.			
Attackment(c)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Intervie	ew Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev		No(s)/Mail Date			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because:

a.) In Figs. 1, 2, 3, 4, 10, examiner suggests applicant insert signal flow arrows for clarification purposes (i.e. between elements 1-1 and 1-3 in Fig. 1, is this a two-way direction or a one-way direction).

2. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- a. Pg. 1, line 5, examiner suggests applicant insert the correct US Patent Application numbers for clarification purposes.
- b. Pg. 4, line 10, applicant uses the phrase "to one another other". Examiner suggests applicant re-write this phrase for clarification purposes.
- c. Pg. 4, line 30, examiner suggests applicant move the heading "Summary" to Pg. 5 for clarification purposes.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2611

Claim Objections

4. Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities:

a. Claim 18, examiner suggests applicant re-write this claim for clarification purposes.

Appropriate correction is required.

5. Claims 20 and 28 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-4, 6, 16, 17, 24-26, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zehavi et al. (US Patent 5,892,774).
- (1) With regard to claim 1, Zehavi et al. discloses in (Fig. 4 (154) and Fig. 5) a receiver (Fig. 5 (90, 206)), comprising: a demodulator unit (208) configured for determining a code from each of a plurality of signals and for demodulating one or more of the plurality of signals; and a processing engine (90) communicatively coupled to the demodulator unit and configured for generating a matrix (210) of one or more vectors

Application/Control Number: 10/699,360

Art Unit: 2611

based on determined codes, wherein each element of the vectors comprises a component of the determined codes and wherein the matrix is used to selectively substantially reduce energy from one or more of the signals (col. 7, lines 1-27, col. 8, lines 23-36).

- (2) With regard to claim 2, claim 2 inherits all the limitations of claim 1. Zehavi et al. further discloses in (Fig. 5) a searcher finger (206) configured for selecting signals for demodulation (208) from said plurality of signals and for determining one or more codes from selected signals (224, 226) (col. 7, lines 37-58).
- (3) With regard to claim 3, claim 3 inherits all the limitations of claim 2. Zehavi et al. further discloses in (Fig. 5) the demodulator unit (208) comprises a plurality of demodulator fingers (206) configured for demodulating the selected signals.
- (4) With regard to claim 4, claim 4 inherits all the limitations of claim 2. Zehavi et al. further discloses the determined codes comprise code offsets in time from one another (col. 7, lines 37-43, col.8, liens 23-36).
- (5) With regard to claim 6, claim 6 inherits all the limitations of claim 1. Zehavi et al. further discloses each vector of the matrix has one or more elements, each element comprising a component of a determined code associated with one of the plurality of signals (col. 7, lines 1-27).
 - (6) With regard to claim 16, see rejection of claim 1.
- (7) With regard to claim 17, claim 17 inherits all the limitations of claim 16. See rejection of claim 1.
 - (8) With regard to claim 24, see rejection of claim 1.

Art Unit: 2611

(9) With regard to claim 25, claim 25 inherits all the limitations of claim 24. See rejection of claim 3.

- (10) With regard to claim 26, claim 26 inherits all the limitations of claim 24. See rejection of claim 2.
 - (11) With regard to claim 32, see rejection of claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 5, 7-9, 19, 21, 27, 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zehavi et al. (US Patent 5,892,774), as applied to claim 1, 16, 24, in view of Madhow et al. (US Patent 6,175,587).
- (1) With regard to claim 5, claim 5 inherits all the limitations of claim 1. However Zehavi et al. does not disclose wherein the matrix comprises one composite interference vector having one or more elements, wherein each element of the vector includes a component of a determined code and a relative amplitude of one of the signals associated with the determined code.

However Madhow et al. discloses wherein the matrix comprises one composite interference vector having one or more elements, wherein each element of the vector

Art Unit: 2611

includes a component of a determined code and a relative amplitude of one of the signals associated with the determined code (col. 9, lines 6-8, 46-61).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Zehavi et al. in view of Madhow et al. to incorporate wherein the matrix comprises one composite interference vector having one or more elements, wherein each element of the vector includes a component of a determined code and a relative amplitude of one of the signals associated with the determined code in order to implement interference suppression adaptively (Madhow et al., col. 2, lines 51-55).

- (2) With regard to claim 7, claim 7 inherits all the limitations of claim 1. Madhow et al. further discloses a radio frequency front end configured for receiving the signals (col. 4, lines 26-31).
- (3) With regard to claim 8, claim 8 inherits all the limitations of claim 7. Madhow et al. further discloses in (Fig. 4 (402, 404)) wherein the processing engine comprises a channel selector configured for selecting components of the determined codes from signals selected for energy reduction (col. 7, lines 29-49, col. 8, lines 40-60).
- (4) With regard to claim 9, claim 9 inherits all the limitations of claim 8. Madhow et al. further discloses wherein the processing engine is further configured to generate a cancellation operator used to substantially reduce the energy of the signals selected for energy reduction (col. 7, lines 6-28, col. 8, lines 40-60, col. 10, lines 3-12).
- (5) With regard to claim 19, claim 19 inherits all the limitations of claim 16. See rejection of claim 5.

(6) With regard to claim 21, claim 21 inherits all the limitations of claim 16. See rejection of claim 9.

- (7) With regard to claim 27, claim 27 inherits all the limitations of claim 24. See rejection of claim 5.
- (8) With regard to claim 29, claim 29 inherits all the limitations of claim 24. See rejection of claim 9.
- 10. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zehavi et al. (US Patent 5,892,774), as applied to claim 1, in view of Corbaton et al. (US Patent Application 2003/0072390).
- (1) With regard to claim 12, claim 12 inherits all the limitations of claim 1.
 However Zehavi et al. does not disclose the determined codes are selected from a group consisting of a spreading code and a covering code.

However Corbaton et al. discloses the determined codes are selected from a group consisting of a spreading code and a covering code (Pg. 4, col. 2, lines 1-9).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Zehavi et al. in view of Corbaton et al. to incorporate the determined codes are selected from a group consisting of a spreading code and a covering code in order to provide a more accurate C/I estimation for supporting variable data rate request schemes and turbo decoding (Corbaton et al., Pg. 1, col. 2, lines 24-28).

Application/Control Number: 10/699,360

Art Unit: 2611

(2) With regard to claim 13, claim 13 inherits all the limitations of claim 12. Corbaton et al. further discloses the spreading code is a short code (Pg. 4, col. 2, lines 1-9).

Page 8

- 11. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zehavi et al. (US Patent 5,892,774) in view of Corbaton et al. (US Patent Application 2003/0072390) as applied to claims 1 and 12, in further view of Butler et al. (US Patent Application 2002/0131479).
- (1) With regard to claim 14, claim 14 inherits all the limitations of claim 12. Zehavi et al. in combination with Corbaton et al. disclose all the limitations of claim 12. However Zehavi et al. in combination with Corbaton et al. do not disclose wherein the covering code is selected from a group consisting of a Walsh code and a quasi-orthogonal function code.

However Butler et al. discloses wherein the covering code is selected from a group consisting of a Walsh code and a quasi-orthogonal function code (Pg. 1, col. 1, lines 20-47, Pg. 6, col. 1, lines 1-5, 25-46).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the inventions of Zehavi et al. in combination with Corbaton et al. in view of Butler et al. to incorporate wherein the covering code is selected from a group consisting of a Walsh code and a quasi orthogonal function code in order to channelize data onto different code channels (Butler et al., Pg. 6, col. 1, lines 44-46).

Art Unit: 2611

(2) With regard to claim 15, claim 15 inherits all the limitations of claim 1. Butler et al. further discloses the signals are selected from a group consisting of cdma2000 signals and cdmaOne signals (Pg. 1, col. 1, lines 20-47, Pg. 6, col. 1, lines 1-5, 25-46).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10, 11, 22, 23, 30, 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected 12. base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The instant application discloses a receiver with a processing engine reduces interference caused by unwanted signals by mathematically reducing the energy of the signals. Prior art references show similar methods but fail to teach: "the cancellation operator comprises a projection operator P.sub.s.sup..perp. having the following form: P.sub.s.sup..perp.=I-S(S,sup,TS).sup,-1S,sup,T, where I is an identity/matrix, S is the matrix and S.sup.T is a transpose of the matrix", as in claims 10, 22, 30; "wherein the processing engine comprises an application unit configured for applying the projection operator P.sub.s.sup..perp. to a desired code x to selectively substantially reduce one or more of the plurality of signals, wherein the projection operator P.sub.s.sup..perp.is applied to the desired code according to the following form: P.sub.s.sup..perp.x=(I-S(S.sup.TS).sup.-1S.sup.T)x", as in claims 22, 23 and 31.

Art Unit: 2611

Conclusion

13. The prior art made record of and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure:

a. Walton et al., US Patent Application 2003/0081538, discloses a multiple-

access hybrid OFDM-CDMA system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Cicely Ware whose telephone number is 571-272-3047.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for

regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-

3900.

Cicely Ware

cqw

June 2, 2006

KHAITRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER